

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/562,106	ANDERSON ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phuong T. Bui	1638	

All Participants:

(1) Phuong T. Bui.

(2) Anne Carlson.

Date of Interview: 8 January 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The sequence is known but its function is unknown. There is no motivation to express an unknown protein. The Office suggests deleting "ortholog" to obviate potential issues under written description. Applicant authorized claim amendments as attached and cancellation of nonelected claims (not rejoined under In re Ochiai) by Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)